

GENERALIZATION OF LOHWATER-POMMERENKE'S THEOREM

P.V. DOVBUSH

Moldavian State University, Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Chişinău, Moldova, Republic of.
E-mail: peter.dovbush@gmail.com

DOI: 10.20948/mathmontis-2023-56-3

Summary. In this paper, as an application of Zalcman's lemma in \mathbb{C}^n , we give a sufficient condition for normality of holomorphic functions of several complex variables, which generalizes previous known one-dimensional criterion of A.J. Lohwater and Ch. Pommerenke.

1 INTRODUCTION

A “heuristic principle” attributed to André Bloch says that a family of holomorphic functions which have a property P in common in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is [apt to be] a normal family in Ω if P cannot be possessed by non-constant holomorphic functions in the whole plane \mathbb{C} . [An example of such a P is “uniform boundedness.”] A rigorous formulation and proof of this was given in 1975 by Zalcman [5]. Zalcman’s work was inspired by the result of Lohwater and Pommerenke [4]. Their theorem deals with normal functions, not normal families, but the proofs are almost identical.

It is the purpose of this note to give a generalization of the result of Lohwater and Pommerenke [4] for normal functions defined on bounded domains of \mathbb{C}^n .

It is known that the notion of normality can be generalized in various ways to higher dimensions. Here, we adopt the definition of Cima and Krantz [1, p. 305].

2 PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . By $B(a, r)$ we denote the ball in \mathbb{C}^n with center a and radius r . Thus $B(a, r)$ consist of all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $|z - a| < r$.

For every function φ of class we define at each point $z \in \Omega$ a Hermitian form

$$L_z(\varphi, v) := \sum_{k, l=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l}(z) v_k \bar{v}_l$$

and call it the Levi form of the function φ at z .

For a holomorphic function f in Ω , set

$$f^\#(z) := \sup_{|v|=1} \sqrt{L_z(\log(1 + |f|^2), v)} \quad (0.1)$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32A18.

Key words and Phrases: Marty's Criterion, Zalcman's Lemma, normal families, normal holomorphic functions of several complex variables, normal functions.

This quantity $f^\#(z)$ is well defined since the Levi form $L_z(\log(1+|f|^2), \nu)$ is nonnegative for all $z \in \Omega$.

Let U be a unit disk in \mathbb{C} . The infinitesimal Kobayashi metric on Ω is given by $K_\Omega(z, \nu) := \inf\{\alpha : \alpha > 0 \text{ and } \exists g : \Omega \rightarrow U \text{ holomorphic, } g(0) = a \text{ and } g'(0) = \nu/\alpha\}$.

Definition 1 A holomorphic function $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called normal if exists a constant C , $0 < C < \infty$, such that

$$L_z(\log(1+|f|^2), \nu) \leq C \cdot K_\Omega^2(z, \nu) \tag{0.2}$$

for all $(z, \nu) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{C}^n$.

A family \mathcal{F} of holomorphic functions on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is normal in Ω if every sequence of functions $\{f_j\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ contains either a subsequence which converges to a limit function $f \neq \infty$ uniformly on each compact subset of Ω , or a subsequence which converges uniformly to ∞ on each compact subset.

Theorem 1 (Marty's Criterion, see [2]) *A family \mathcal{F} of functions holomorphic on Ω is normal on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ if and only if for each compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ there exists a constant $M(K)$ such that at each point $z \in K$*

$$f^\#(z) \leq M(K)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 2 (Zalcman's Lemma, see [2]) *Suppose that a family \mathcal{F} of functions holomorphic on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is not normal at some point $z_0 \in \Omega$ if and only if there exist sequences $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$, $z_j \rightarrow z_0$, $\rho_j = 1/f_j^\#(z_j) \rightarrow 0$, $\rho_j = 1/f_j^\#(z_j) \rightarrow 0$, such that the sequence*

$$g_j(z) = f_j(z_j + \rho_j z)$$

converges locally uniformly in \mathbb{C}^n to a non-constant entire function g satisfying $g^\#(z) \leq g^\#(0) = 1$.

In one-dimensional case there are many criteria known for a meromorphic function to be normal, and the Lohwater and Pommerenke add a very valuable criterion to this list: *a nonconstant function f meromorphic in unit disc $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is normal if and only if there do not exist sequences $\{z_n\}$ and $\{\rho_n\}$ with $z_n \in U$, $\rho_n > 0$, $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$, such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z_n + \rho_n t) = g(t)$$

locally uniformly in \mathbb{C} , where $g(t)$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} .

Lohwater and Pommerenke [4, Theorem 1] originally stated their theorem with no restriction on the speed at which $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$. In proving their theorem they asserted, "if f is

normal and $f(z_n + \rho_n \zeta) \rightarrow g(\zeta)$ locally uniformly, then $\rho_n / (1 - |z_n|) \rightarrow 0$. The statement in quotes is false as one can see from $f(z) = z$, $z_n = 1 - n^{-3}$, $\rho_n = n^{-2}$, $g(\zeta) \equiv 1$.

3 GENERALIZATION OF LOHWATER-POMMERENKE'S THEOREM

Theorem 3 *A non-constant function f holomorphic on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is non-normal if there exist sequences $z_j \in \Omega$, $\rho_j = 1 / f^\sharp(z_j) \rightarrow 0$, such that the sequence*

$$g_j(\zeta) = f(z_j + \rho_j \zeta)$$

converges locally uniformly in \mathbb{C}^n to a non-constant entire function g satisfying $g^\sharp(\zeta) \leq g^\sharp(0) = 1$.

Proof. Let $\{p_j\}$ be an arbitrary sequence of points in Ω , then $B(p_j, \delta_j) \subset \Omega$, where $\delta_j = \text{dist}(p_j, \partial\Omega)$. By the distance-decreasing property of Kobayashi metric

$$K_\Omega(z, v) \leq K_{B(p_j, \delta_j)}(z, v)$$

for all $(z, v) \in B(p_j, \delta_j) \times \mathbb{C}^n$.

The Kobayashi metric of $B(p_j, \delta_j)$ is given by

$$K_{B(p_j, \delta_j)}(z, v) = \frac{[(\delta_j^2 - |z - p_j|^2) |v|^2 + |(z - p_j, v)|^2]^{1/2}}{\delta_j^2 - |z - p_j|^2}$$

which clearly satisfy the inequality:

$$K_{B(p_j, \delta_j)}(z, v) \leq \frac{\delta_j |v|}{\delta_j^2 - |z - p_j|^2}.$$

If f is normal in Ω and then from (0.2) follows

$$f^\sharp(p_j + \delta_j \zeta) \leq \frac{\sqrt{C} \delta_j}{\delta_j^2 - |\delta_j \zeta|^2}. \quad (0.3)$$

Set $g_j(\zeta) := f(p_j + \delta_j \zeta)$. By the invariance of the Levi form under biholomorphic mappings, we have

$$L_\zeta(\log(1 + |g_j|^2), v) = L_{p_j + \delta_j \zeta}(\log(1 + |f_j|^2), \delta_j v)$$

and so

$$g_j^\sharp(\zeta) = \delta_j f^\sharp(p_j + \delta_j \zeta).$$

It follows from (0.3) that

$$g_j^\sharp(\zeta) \leq \frac{\sqrt{C}}{1 - |\zeta|^2}$$

for all j and all $\zeta \in B(0,1)$. By Marty's Criterion ([2, Theorem 2.1]) the family $\{g_j(\zeta)\}$ is normal in the unit ball $B(0,1)$.

So if f is not normal function in Ω , then there exists a sequence $\{p_j\}$ in Ω such that $\{g_j(\zeta) := f(p_j + \delta_j \zeta)\}$ is not a normal sequence in a point, say, ζ_0 , $\zeta_0 \in B(0,1)$. It follows from Zalcman's lemma [2, Theorem 3.1] that there exist $\zeta_j \rightarrow \zeta_0$, $\rho_j = 1/g_j^\sharp(\zeta_j) \rightarrow 0$, such that the sequence

$$g_j(\zeta) = f_j(p_j + \delta_j(\zeta_j + \rho_j \zeta))$$

converges locally uniformly in \mathbb{C}^n to a non-constant entire function g satisfying $g^\sharp(\zeta) \leq g^\sharp(0) = 1$.

A simple calculation shows that $\delta_j \rho_j = 1/f^\sharp(p_j + \delta_j \zeta_j)$ and therefore

$$g_j(\zeta) = f_j(p_j + \delta_j \zeta_j + \zeta / f^\sharp(p_j + \delta_j \zeta_j))$$

converges locally uniformly in \mathbb{C}^n to a non-constant entire function g satisfying $g^\sharp(\zeta) \leq g^\sharp(0) = 1$. It follows $z_j = p_j + \delta_j \zeta_j$, $\rho_j = 1/f^\sharp(p_j + \delta_j \zeta_j)$ do the work. This completes the proof of Theorem 3. ■

The next result is closely related to the preceding theorem and is essentially a reformulation of (0.2).

Theorem 4. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded domain. If $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a normal holomorphic function, then for every choice of sequences $\{p_j\}$ in Ω and $\{r_j\}$, $r_j > 0$, with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r_j / \delta_j = 0$, where $\delta_j = \text{dist}(p_j, \Omega)$, the sequence $\{f(p_j + r_j \zeta)\}$ converges locally uniformly to a constant function in \mathbb{C}^n .*

Proof. Set $R_j = \delta_j / r_j$. It is clear that $R_j \rightarrow \infty$. Without restriction we can assume that $R_j > j$. Then for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $|\zeta| < j$, we have

$$|p_j + r_j \zeta - p_j| = r_j |\zeta| < \delta_j$$

so that $p_j + r_j \zeta \in B(p_j, \delta_j) \subset \Omega$.

Hence $g_j(\zeta) := f(p_j + r_j \zeta)$ is a holomorphic function on the ball $B(0, j) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n : |\zeta| < j\}$.

It is an immediate consequence of the definition that since f is the normal function, then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$L_z(\log(1 + |f|^2), \nu) \leq C \cdot K_\Omega^2(z, \nu)$$

for all $(z, \nu) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{C}^n$.

Since $B(p_j, \delta_j)$ is contained in Ω the distance-decreasing property yields

$$K_{\Omega}(z, v) \leq K_{B(p_j, \delta_j)}(z, v)$$

for all $(z, v) \in B(p_j, \delta_j) \times \mathbb{C}^n$.

Since

$$K_{B(p_j, \delta_j)}(z, v) = \frac{[(\delta_j^2 - |z - p_j|^2) |v|^2 + |(z - p_j, v)|^2]^{1/2}}{\delta_j^2 - |z - p_j|^2}$$

we have

$$K_{B(p_j, \delta_j)}(z, v) \leq \frac{\delta_j |v|}{\delta_j^2 - |z - p_j|^2}.$$

Hence

$$K_{\Omega}(z, v) \leq \frac{\delta_j |v|}{\delta_j^2 - |z - p_j|^2}$$

for all $(z, v) \in B(p_j, \delta_j) \times \mathbb{C}^n$.

Therefore,

$$\sqrt{L_{p_j + r_j \zeta}(\log(1 + |f_j|^2), v)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{C} \delta_j |v|}{\delta_j^2 - |r_j \zeta|^2}$$

for all $(\zeta, v) \in B(0, j) \times \mathbb{C}^n$.

Taking sup on both sides over $|v|=1$, we have

$$f^{\sharp}(p_j + r_j \zeta) \leq \frac{\sqrt{C} \delta_j}{\delta_j^2 - |r_j \zeta|^2} \tag{0.4}$$

By the invariance of the Levi form under biholomorphic mappings, we have

$$L_{\zeta}(\log(1 + |g_j|^2), v) = L_{p_j + r_j \zeta}(\log(1 + |f_j|^2), r_j v)$$

and hence

$$g_j^{\sharp}(\zeta) = r_j f^{\sharp}(p_j + r_j \zeta). \tag{0.5}$$

We note from (0.4), (0.5), and $\delta_j / r_j > j$, that

$$g_j^{\sharp}(\zeta) \leq \frac{\sqrt{C} r_j \delta_j}{\delta_j^2 - |r_j \zeta|^2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{C} / j}{1 - (1/j)^2 |\zeta|^2}$$

for all j sufficiently large and all ζ , $|\zeta| < j$.

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $\{g_j\}_{j>m}$ is normal in $|\zeta| < m$ by Marty's Theorem [2, Theorem 2.1]. The well-known Cantor diagonal process yields a subsequence $\{g_k = g_{j_k}\}$

which converges uniformly on every ball $|\zeta| < R$. The limit function g is holomorphic and satisfies $g^\sharp(\zeta) = 0$ which yields: $dg(\zeta) = 0$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n$, i.e. $g(\zeta) \equiv \text{constant}$ in \mathbb{C}^n . ■

Theorem 4 can be restated in the following way.

Corollary. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded domain. If $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function, and there exist sequences $\{p_j\}$ in Ω and $\{r_j\}$, $r_j > 0$, with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r_j / \delta_j = 0$, where $\delta_j = \delta_\Omega(p_j)$, such that $\{f(p_j + r_j \zeta)\}$ converges locally uniformly to a non-constant holomorphic function in \mathbb{C}^n , then f is non-normal.*

Remark. In [3], Theorem 4 was proven for the case of the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n .

4 CONCLUSUONS

In this paper, as an application of Marty's Criterion and Zalcman's Lemma in \mathbb{C}^n , we obtain a sufficient condition for normality of holomorphic functions of several complex variables, which generalizes previous known one-dimensional theorem of A.J. Lohwater and Ch. Pommerenke [4, Theorem 1].

An honest generalization of Theorem 1 of [4] to more than one complex variable does not hold as has been shown by an example [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. A. Cima and S. G. Krantz, "The Lindelöf principle and normal functions of several complex variables", *Duke Math. J.*, **50**, 303-328 (1983).
- [2] P. V. Dovbush, "Zalcman's lemma in \mathbb{C}^n ", *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, **65**(5), 796-800 (2020).
- [3] Kyong T, Hahn, "Higher-dimensional generalizations of some classical theorems on normal meromorphic functions". *Complex Variables Theory Appl.*, **6** (2-4), 109–121 (1986).
- [4] A. J. Lohwater and C. Pommerenke, "On normal meromorphic functions", *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A*, **I** (550), 1-12 (1973).
- [5] L. Zalcman, "A heuristic principle in complex function theory". *Am. Math. Mon.*, **82**, 813-818 (1975).

Received, March 19, 2023