ON SPINODAL MANIFESTATION DURING FAST HEATING AND EVAPORATION OF THIN LIQUID FILM

A.A. SAMOKHIN, P.A. PIVOVAROV

A.M. Prokhorov General Physics Institute, RAS, Vavilov str.38, Moscow 119990, Russia e-mail: asam40@mail.ru

Summary. Recent results of molecular dynamic (MD) modelling of surface evaporation and explosive boiling of thin liquid film during its fast heating are analyzed in the framework of continual approach. Approximate solution of the inverse problem shows that heat conductivity coefficient at the film temperature maximum T_0 before the explosive boiling differs significantly from its value at the surface temperature T_S which is lower than T_0 due to evaporating cooling. This difference can be probably attributed to the spinodal manifestation near the superheating limit of the considered liquid metastable state.

It is widely known that liquids can be superheated to the temperatures well above its equilibrium boiling temperatures [1]. Such nonequilibrium metastable states can exist only during finite time interval *t* because of spontaneous development of growing vapor bubbles. This interval *t* depends strongly on superheating degree and from macroscopic point of view tends practically to zero at the superheating limit.



Fig.1 Equation of state in pressure (P) volume (V) plane with metastable liquid region between the curves B (binodal) and S (spinodal). C – critical point.

On the other hand, in continuous equation of states, e.g., van-der-Waals EoS, there is a definite spinodal line which divides metastable and unstable (labile) states (Fig.1) with no

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 80A22, 80A23. Key words and Phrases: Explosive boiling, Spinodal, Inverse problem. reference to any time dependence. Having the two different approaches one may ask about relation between superheating limit and spinodal lines. The question ha a rather old history (see, e.g., [2] and references therein) and it is not yet completely resolved. In particular, it is not clear at what extent spinodal singularities manifest itself near the superheating limit. In paper [3] dependence of t on superheating degree was studied in the framework of constant pressure MD simulations while the spinodal singularities problem was not considered. The problem of spinodal singularities near the superheating limit attainable during nanosecond liquid film heating is considered in the present paper on the basis of recent MD calculation results [4, 5].

We suppose that temperature distribution in the heated and evaporating film with thickness 2*l* located at $-l \le x \le l$ can be described with usual heat conduction equation:

$$\rho \cdot c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\mathfrak{a} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) + W, \tag{1}$$

where ρ - density, c_p - heat capacity, æ - heat conduction coefficient, $W=q\rho$, q - input power per unit mass, which is supposed to be constant. Due to evaporation process the film thickness is not constant. If this time dependence is neglected then in the steady state case equation (1) reduces to (2):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\mathfrak{a} \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right) + q\rho = 0, \tag{2}$$

Integration of equation (2) from x=0 to x << l gives for the heat conduction coefficient æ in the region of temperature maximum $T_o=T(0)$:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{0} = \frac{q\rho_{0}l^{2}}{2T_{0}a_{2}}, \rho_{0} = \rho(0), \ \boldsymbol{a}_{2} = \left(\frac{l^{2}}{2}\right) lim\left(\frac{l}{x}\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right), \qquad \text{at } x \to 0$$
(3)

In eq.(3) it is supposed that $\partial T/\partial x \sim x$ at small x and $\mathfrak{a}_0 \cdot \partial T/\partial x|_{x=0} = 0$ at x = 0. Making use polynomial approximation for T(x)

$$T = T_0 (1 - \sum_{i=2}^{2k} a_i \left(\frac{x}{l}\right)^i), i = 2, 4, \dots 2k$$
(4)

we obtain for the heat conduction value at the film surface \mathfrak{a}_s where $T=T_s$ and for the ratio $\mathfrak{a}_0/\mathfrak{a}_s$

$$\mathbf{\mathfrak{x}}_{\mathrm{S}} = -\frac{q \int_{0}^{l} \rho dx}{T_{\mathrm{S}}^{'}}, T_{\mathrm{S}}^{'} = \frac{\partial T}{dx} \Big|_{x=l}$$
(5)

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}_{0}}{\mathbf{x}_{S}} = -\frac{\rho_{0}l^{2}T_{s}'}{2T_{0}a_{2}\int_{0}^{l}\rho dx} = \frac{l\rho_{0}}{2a_{2}\int_{0}^{l}\rho dx}\sum_{i}ia_{i}$$
(6)

In the considered approach eq. (5) coincides with the steady state energy balance relation: all absorbed power is dissipated due to surface evaporative cooling effect. The simplest case

i = 2 corresponds to constant values approximation for x and ρ which gives also the relation $2\Delta T + lT_S' = 0$.

If the sum in eq. (4) contains two terms (x^2 and x^4) then one has

$$a_{2} = -\frac{4\Delta T + lT_{s}'}{2T_{0}}, \ a_{4} = -\frac{2\Delta T + lT_{s}'}{2T_{0}}, \ \Delta T = T_{0} - T_{s},$$
(7)

$$\frac{\mathfrak{a}_{0}}{\mathfrak{a}_{S}} = -\frac{\rho_{0}l^{2}T_{S}'}{(4\Delta T + lT_{S}')\int_{0}^{l}\rho dx}, T_{S}' = \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=l} < 0$$
(8)

From eq. (8) one can see that relation $\mathfrak{a}_0/\mathfrak{a}_S$ depends on temperature curve mainly through its gradients T_S ' which is negative at x=l and $\Delta T=T_0 - T_S$. In this description compensation of the two terms $4\Delta T$ and lT_S ' leads to singular behavior of \mathfrak{a}_0 while the difference between $\int \rho dx$ and $l\rho_0$ is not so important.

Using eq. (8) and results [4,5] for temperature distributions which determine values of lT_S ', ΔT at power deposition rates 2 K/ps at the moment 280 ps and 4 K/ps at the moment 240 ps gives, respectively:

$$lT_{\rm S}' = -46830 {\rm K}, 4\Delta T = 8847 {\rm K}, T_0 = 6630 {\rm K}, x_0/x_{\rm S} = -1,76$$
 (9)

$$lT_S' = -53155 \text{K}, 4\Delta T = 11600 \text{K}, T_0 = 7063 \text{K}, \mathfrak{x}_0/\mathfrak{x}_S = -1,83$$
 (10)

Negative values of the heat conduction ratio mean formally that the system states are in unstable region divided from metastable region with the spinodal line where the system thermophysical parameters are singular according to continuous equation of states. However the approximation (8) is too crude to describe the temperature distributions details properly.

If the temperature curve approximation contains three terms $(x_2, x_4 \text{ and } x_6)$ in the sum (4) then instead of (9) and (10) at the same conditions one obtains:

$$T_0 = 6640$$
K, $a_2 = 0,169523$, $a_4 = -0,3103258$, $a_6 = 0,318249308$, $w_0/w_s = 4,94$ (11)

$$T_0 = 6925$$
K, $a_2 = 0.174011$, $a_4 = -0.2966999$, $a_6 = 0.420521493$, $a_0/a_8 = 7.42$ (12)

Greater than unity values of the ratio α_0/α_s in (11) and (12) imply that the system state is metastable and relatively close to the spinodal.

It should be noted that the heat conduction coefficient value \mathfrak{a}_0 fluctuates strongly (much stronger, e.g., than the considered temperature value [6]) and this is also manifestation of its singular behavior. In the case of four terms (x^2, x^4, x^6 and x^8) sum in eq. (4) one obtains that in time interval 270-274 ps with 1 ps step the averaged ratio $\mathfrak{a}_0/\mathfrak{a}_S = 42$ with maximum value 164 while during following 275-280 ps all values of the ratio are negative and its mean value is about - 2,7.

It is clear that such behavior of the ratio α_0/α_s is mainly due to the coefficient $\alpha_0 = \alpha(T_0)$ when the temperature maximum T_0 is in the spinodal line vicinity. The obtained results suggest that in the considered heating conditions of the thin liquid film the superheating limit temperature is sufficiently close to the spinodal line to manifest its singularities before the explosive boiling process begins to develop. More investigation is necessary to clarify further details of liquid systems behavior in its strongly nonequilibrium phase state. Information of

the kind is needed, e.g., to describe properly metastable region of EoS and such phase transitions as explosive boiling using continual description of the processes.

Acknowledgment. This work was partially supported by RFBR grant № 13-02-01129.

REFERENCES

- V.P. Skripov, *Metastable liquids*, Wiley (1974);
 V.P. Skripov, *Metastabil'naia zhidkost'*, M.: Nauka, (1972).
- [2] A.A. Samokhin "First-Order Phase Transitions Induced by Laser Radiation in Absorbing Condensed Matter", in V.B.Fedorov (Ed.) *Proceedings of the Institute of General Physics Academy of Science of the USSR*, Nova Science Publishers, **13**, 3-161 (1988).
- [3] B.J. Garrison, T.E. Itina, L.V. Zhigilei, "Limit of overheating and the threshold behaviour in laser ablation", *Phys. Rev. B*, **68**, 041501 (2003).
- [4] V.I. Mazhukin, A.V. Shapranov, A.A. Samokhin, A.Yu. Ivochkin, "Mathematical modeling of non-equilibrium phase transition in rapidly heated thin liquid film", *Mathematica Montisnigri*, 27, 65-90 (2013).
- [5] V.I. Mazhukin, A.V. Shapranov, A.A. Samokhin, A.V. Mazhukin, O.N. Koroleva, "Vizualization and analysis of the results of molecular dynamic modeling of intensive evaporation of liquid in the near-critical region", *Scientific Visualization Electronic Journal*, 6 (4), 72-95 (2014).
- [6] V.I. Mazhukin, A.A.Samokhin, A.V.Shapranov, M.M.Demin, "Modeling of thin film explosive boiling-surface evaporation and electron thermal conductivity effect", *Mater. Res. Express*, 2, 016402 (2015).

The results were presented at the thirteenth international seminar "Mathematical models & modeling in laser-plasma processes & advanced science technologies" (May 30 - June 6, 2015, Petrovac, Montenegro).